



## Transfer Alignment Project

2022-2023 Action Plan

### Introduction

In fall 2019, the ASCCC started the first phase of addressing the Resolution F17 15.01 Aligning Transfer Pathways for the CSU and UC Systems

*Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges work with the Academic Senates of the California State University and the University of California to identify a single pathway in each of the majors with an Associate Degree for Transfer to ensure that students will be prepared to transfer into either the California State University or the University of California systems.*

Overall Goal:

1. Align Transfer Model Curriculum (TMC) with University of California Transfer Pathways (UCTP), where feasible, i.e. only non-substantive changes to the TMCs would be needed
2. For those TMCs that need more changes, convene discipline faculty from all three systems, every attempt is made to align the pathways with two possible outcomes:
  1. Pathways aligned with substantive changes to TMC and/or UCTP (currently, only TMCs have been considered for changes)
  2. If the pathways cannot be aligned, then clear documentation on the rationale and benefits of separate pathways to students and public is communicated broadly

### The Transfer Alignment Project - Phase IV (2022-2023)

Discipline	Aligned?	Progress Notes
Sociology	Yes	Complete. FDRG revised TMC to align with UCTP. Templates are available on <a href="#">C-ID website</a> and the <a href="#">CCCCO website</a> (Nov. 2021)
Political Science	Yes	Complete. FDRG revised TMC to align with UCTP. Templates are available on <a href="#">C-ID website</a> and the <a href="#">CCCCO website</a> (Sept 2022)
Anthropology	TBD	Met in F'22. Considering recommendations.
History	TBD	The FDRG believes alignment is feasible with minor changes and are discussing next steps. 2 of 3 CSU faculty appointed. Will schedule a meeting in spring. UC will also appoint a faculty member. Needs a lead

		<i>faculty member.</i>
Business Administration	No	<i>FDRG completed TMC review in 2020. Alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP (calculus)</i>
Economics	No	<i>FDRG completed TMC review in 2020. Alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP (calculus)</i>
Mathematics	No	<i>FDRG completed TMC review in 2020. Alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP (science)</i>
Philosophy	No	<i>FDRG completed TMC review in 2020. Alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP (epistemology)</i>
Biology	No	<i>FDRG determined alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP (organic chemistry)</i>
English	No	<i>FDRG in 2022 determined alignment not feasible at this time due to requirements of UCTP</i>

**Action Plan** for Phase IV:

1. Define alignment; consider public communication of alignment versus alignable with recommendations.
  - a. **Alignment:** UCTP courses easily met within Core courses in TMC (broad pathway)
  - b. **Alignable:** UCTP could be met with the right selection of courses within TMC by students (narrow pathway; would require unpacking of ADT courses on transcript at UC). Could include courses recommended but not required by UC for transfer (dependent on articulation).
2. Prepare clear communication re: alignment, alignable, and not alignable (why). Better communication of ADT and UCTP alignment and differences on UCTP website, C-ID website, CCCCCO website
3. Plan for dissemination of information of alignment info
4. For disciplines deemed as TBD (anthropology, history), continue with C-ID process until feasibility finalized
  - a. For disciplines deemed feasible, finalize and publish TMC templates
  - b. For disciplines deemed not feasible, see below.

5. For disciplines deemed not feasible (business administration, economics, mathematics, philosophy, biology), consider whether changes to UCTPs are possible, whether multiple TMCs are needed, or whether clear communication for students can be created.
  - a. Communication continues as UC reviews the possibility of updating UCTPs
6. Invite and include UC faculty for FDRGs where a UCTP exists and FDRG is planning to meet (right now, ANTH and HIST most pressing; as needed)
  - a. ICW agreed (1/31/2023) to invite UC faculty to participate on FDRGs while recommending that the required work of FDRGs not be delayed if there is no UC faculty member. Jim Chalfant and Fredye Harms should be the contacts for requests for UC faculty (per TAP 3/14/23 meeting)