



**ACADEMIC SENATE**  
for California Community Colleges  
LEADERSHIP • EMPOWERMENT • VOICE

## **Transfer Alignment Project**

Work Group Meeting

November 28, 2023

9:30 am – 11:00am via Zoom

<https://lrccd.zoom.us/j/83648592994>

### **MINUTES**

Attending: Ginni May (chair), Elizabeth Atondo, Jim Chalfant, Stephanie Curry, Dolores Davison, LaTonya Parker, Krystinne Mica, Ken Feer, Miguel Rother

The November 28, 2023 minutes and current agenda were approved.

TAP WG members discussed processes for approving new TMCs. This may be for the ICC; further discussion was deferred for the work plan for TAP.

Jim Chalfant noted that UCLA does not require Epistemology for transfer admission (only for the major), so there is reason for ACSCOTI<sup>1</sup> to update the UC Transfer Pathway (UCTP) description. It was agreed that this would involve input from CCCs.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Academic Council Special Committee on Transfer Issues (ACSCOTI) was established by the UC Academic Council and is charged with providing the Council with expertise on student transfer to UC, primarily for California Community College (CCC) students seeking entry to and success in graduating from the University. Additional details can be found on the [ACSCOTI website](#).

Ginni May summarized the Computer Science FDRG meeting. Not every CCC has this degree. But if a local associate degree is to exist, the CCC must offer the ADT, assuming there is a TMC for the major.

It was clarified that not every CSU campus must deem a TMC similar; there is a determination of whether the TMC and the local CSU major are sufficiently “similar.”

Elizabeth Atondo noted that it is common to find CSUs that do not deem a particular TMC similar. One example was that one campus out of 23 did not accept Business Administration 2.0 due to insufficient math.

Hence, Ginni expressed the view that not every UC campus should be expected to agree to new TMCs developed/modified for the TAP.

The new/modified TMCs would fall under the regular agreement between the CCCs and CSU; there is no formal process for UC.

AB 928 update (Ginni)

Concerning GE, Ginni noted that there is no interest on the AB 928 committee in developing a “Cal-GETC for STEM” to parallel the IGETC for STEM model. However, there is at the same time recognition that there may be a need to allow flexibility, and perhaps defer some number of GE courses to be completed after transfer. Currently, this would be proposed, on a major-by-major basis, as part of the process of adopting new TMCs for high-unit STEM majors.

The AB 928 committee is editing its November draft report for finalizing in December; Ginni shared some suggested edits for the portion that covers STEM ADTs, that the committee will discuss at its next meeting. These pertained to recommendations 5, 8, and 11.

Turning back to Computer Science, a survey is to go out. A Doodle poll is out for Environmental Science. Other FDRGs are scheduled to meet.

The committee returned to a discussion of how to update the UCTP Philosophy description. Ginni and Dolores will join a meeting to explore this.

### Workplan Updates

The estimated timeline has been updated to reflect AB 928 and the FDRG processes.

A future topic will be ASCCC and C-ID website updates.